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#### Abstract

Two new tricyclic clerodane-type diterpenoids, limbatolide D (1) and limbatolide E (2), have been isolated from the roots of Otostegia limbata. Their structures and the relative configuration were established on the basis of spectral methods, especially two-dimensional (2D) NMR techniques.
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## 1. Introduction

The genus Otostegia (Lamiaceae) comprises about 33 species, mainly occurring in the Mediterranean region [1]. In Pakistan, only two species have been found, namely Otostegia aucheri and Otostegia limbata. Locally, O. limbata is called 'Bui' or 'Phut kandu' [2]. It is widely distributed in the North-West Frontier Province and lower hills of West Punjab in Pakistan. It is used, traditionally, in the treatment of children gum diseases and for ophthalmia in man [3]. Moreover, the species of genus Otostegia are widely used by the traditional practitioners against various diseases, and its constituents have shown to possess antiulcer, antispasmodic, antidepressant, anxiolytic and sedative activities [4]. Here, we report the isolation and structure elucidation of two new clerodane-type diterpenoids (figure 1).

## 2. Results and discussion

The $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ fraction of the air dried roots of $O$. limbata was subjected to silica gel chromatography to give two new tricyclic clerodane-type diterpenoids limbatolide $\mathrm{D}(\mathbf{1})$ and limbatolide E (2).
Limbatolide D (1) was isolated as gummy solid. Its molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ was established by HREIMS, which showed the [M] ${ }^{+}$peak at $m / z 314.1893$. The peaks at $m / z 95$,
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Figure 1. Structures of compounds 1 and 2.

94, and 81 suggested the presence of a furan ring with an alkyl chain in $\mathbf{1}$ [5], while the ion peaks at $m / z 219,201$, and 173 showed the presence of diterpenoid skeleton [6]. The IR spectrum of 1 showed the presence of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated lactone $\left(1763 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$, unsaturation $\left(1683 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$, and a furan ring ( 1510 and $870 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), while the UV spectrum revealed an absorption at $\lambda_{\text {max }} 212 \mathrm{~nm}$.
The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1}$ closely resembled those of trans-clerodanes, revealing the same substitution pattern in rings A and B [6]. It exhibited signals for two tertiary methyls at $\delta 0.75$ and 1.20 (each $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), one secondary methyl at $\delta 0.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), and for an olefinic proton at $\delta 6.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.2,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3)$. A $\beta$-monosubstituted furan ring was indicated by characteristic ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR resonances at $\delta 6.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=0.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-14), 7.31$ (br. s, H-16), and $7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-15)$, and the corresponding ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR resonances at $\delta$ 111.3, 139.7, and 143.4 as revealed by the HMQC experiment. The large ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}}$ couplings observed for C-15/H-15 $(205 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and C-16/H-16 $(202 \mathrm{~Hz})$ are a diagnostic feature of oxygen attachment at these two centres.
The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}$ spectrum corroborated the presence of three $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, five $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$, seven CH , and five quaternary C -atoms. The chemical shift of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-19$ was observed at $\delta 18.1$ and the $\alpha$-positioned axial $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-20$ appeared at $\delta 19.3$, while the $\alpha$-positioned equatorial $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-17$ resonated at $\delta 16.6$. These values revealed the trans configuration at the A/B ring junction in $\mathbf{1}$ [7].

The positions of $\gamma$-lactone moiety and furan ring in the molecule were confirmed from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY. The HMBC experiment was very informative in the structure elucidation of $\mathbf{1}$. In the HMBC experiment of $\mathbf{1}$ (figure 2), the olefinic proton at $\delta 6.90(\mathrm{H}-3)$ showed correlations to the carbons at $\delta 174.1$ (C-18), 140.5 (C-4), 40.6 (C-5), and $27.1(\mathrm{C}-2)$. In addition, the HMBC of $\mathbf{1}$ revealed that $\alpha$-oriented $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-19$ at $\delta 1.20$ was correlated to the carbons at $\delta 140.5$ (C-4), 82.4 (C-6), and 45.1 (C-10), which established the presence of a lactone moiety joining the $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B}$ rings of trans-clerodane through C-4 and C-6 carbons [8,9]. The AB pattern centered at $\delta 1.50$ and 2.05 could be assigned to $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-11$ the HMBC of which showed correlations to the carbons at $\delta 45.1$ (C-10), 41.3 (C-9), 39.1 (C-8), 19.3 (C-20), and 18.4 (C-12), confirming the attachment of the alkyl chain at C-9.

A series of NOE experiments carried out on 1 established NOEs between $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-19$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-20$, and between $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-17$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-20$, consistent with a cis relationship between these $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ groups. These results, and the fact that irradiation of $\mathrm{H}-10$ did not cause any increase in the intensities of either the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-19$ or $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-20$ signals, confirmed the trans configuration of


Figure 2. Important HMBC correlations of $\mathbf{1}$.
$A$ and $B$ rings of the decalin system of $\mathbf{1}$ [6]. The NOEs were also observed between H-6 and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-19$, indicating their cis relationship, and establishing the $\beta$-configuration and axial conformation of lactone at C-6. This was also confirmed by the coupling constants of H-6 and the inspection of the model. Based on the foregoing evidence, the structure of limbatolide D was thus established as $\mathbf{1}$.

Limbatolide $\mathrm{E}(\mathbf{2})$ was also isolated as gummy solid. Its molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ was established by HREIMS, which showed the [M] ${ }^{+}$peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 330.1840$. As for $\mathbf{1}$, the peaks at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 95,94$ and 81 were typical of an alkyl-substituted furan moiety [5], while the ions at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 219, 201, and 173 showed the presence of diterpenoid skeleton [6]. The IR spectrum of 2 showed the presence of an OH group $\left(3460 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right), \alpha, \beta$-unsaturated lactone $\left(1755 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$, unsaturation ( $1680 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), and a furan ring ( 1506 and $875 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), while the UV spectrum revealed an absorption at $\lambda 210 \mathrm{~nm}$.

A comparison of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of 2 with those of 1 revealed a close similarity between the two compounds. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of 2 showed a signal at $\delta 4.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ indicating that one of the two protons at $\mathrm{C}-2$ of $\mathbf{2}$ has been substituted by an OH group [10]. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR corroborated the presence of three $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, four $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$, eight CH , and five quaternary carbons. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR showed the downfield shift of the $\mathrm{C}-2$ signal at $\delta 72.3$ due to the OH group.

The position of the OH group at $\mathrm{C}-2$ was further confirmed by HMBC experiments which showed correlations of the signal at $\delta 6.80(\mathrm{H}-3)$ to the carbons at $\delta 172.1(\mathrm{C}-18), 139.6$ (C-4), 72.3 (C-2), and 42.3 (C-5). Further confirmation of the structure of 2 was provided by NOEs establishing the spatial proximity of $\mathrm{H}-2$ and $\mathrm{H}-10$ (figure 3). The OH group at $\mathrm{C}-2$ was thus disposed to be equatorially $\alpha$-oriented. Other features of 1D and 2D NMR spectra were similar to those of $\mathbf{1}$. Based on the above evidence the structure of limbatolide E was established as 2.

## 3. Experimental

### 3.1 General experimental procedures

Optical rotations were measured on a Jasco DIP-360 digital polarimeter using a $10-\mathrm{cm}$ cell tube. UV spectra were recorded on Hitachi-UV-3200 spectrophotometer. IR spectra were recorded on Jasco-320-A spectrophotometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a


Figure 3. Important NOE correlations of $\mathbf{2}$.

Bruker AM-400 spectrophotometer at 400 and 100 MHz , respectively, in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ using TMS as internal standard. 2D NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AMX 500 spectrophotometer. MS spectra were measured on Finnigan MAT 12 or MAT 312 spectrophotometers. Silica gel 230-400 mesh (Merck, Germany) was used for column chromatography. TLC was performed on pre-coated silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ plates and detection was achieved by spraying with ceric sulphate in $10 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ solution followed by heating.

### 3.2 Plant material

The root parts of Otostegia limbata (Lamiaceae) were collected in July 2002 from Abbottabad, Pakistan, and identified by Dr. Manzoor Ahmad (taxonomist) at the Department of Botany, Post-Graduate College, Abbottabad, Pakistan. A voucher specimen (No. 6872) has been deposited in the herbarium of the Botany Department of Post-Graduate College, Abbottabad, Pakistan.

### 3.3 Extraction and isolation

The air-dried roots of Otostegia limbata ( 30 kg ) were extracted repeatedly with methanol $(50 \mathrm{~L})$ three times, at room temperature. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give a dark residue ( 450 g ), which was partitioned between hexane ( 50 g ), chloroform $(80 \mathrm{~g})$, ethyl acetate $(120 \mathrm{~g})$, butanol $(170 \mathrm{~g})$ and water $(30 \mathrm{~g})$. The chloroform extract was subjected to silica gel chromatography using hexane with a gradient on $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ up to $100 \%$ and followed by methanol. Eleven fractions were collected. Fraction $9(10.5 \mathrm{~g})$ of the first column was loaded on silica gel and eluted with EtOAc-hexane (3:7) to give compound 1 $(8 \mathrm{mg})$. Fraction $11(13 \mathrm{~g})$ was subjected to column chromatography and eluted with EtOAchexane (4:6) to give compound $2(6.5 \mathrm{mg})$.
3.3.1 Limbatolide D (1). Gummy solid, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-38\left(c 0.423, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\max }$ $(\log \epsilon): 214(5.2) \mathrm{nm}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \nu_{\max }\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1763,1683,1510,870$; EIMS $m / z$ (rel. int.) $314[\mathrm{M}]^{+}(88), 299$ (60), 298 (50), 271 (34), 219 (55), 201 (25), 173 (60), 95 (80), 94 (18), 81 (100); HREIMS m/z $314.1893[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 314.1882$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data, see table 1.
3.3.2 Limbatolide E (2). Gummy solid, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-60\left(c 0.040, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\max }$ $(\log \epsilon): 210(4.1) \mathrm{nm}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \nu_{\max }\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3460,1755,1680,1506,875$; EIMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (rel. int.) $330[\mathrm{M}]^{+}(70), 314$ (40), 312 (35), 299 (40), 219 (15), 201 (28), 173 (50), 95 (75), 94 (60), 81 (100). HREIMS m/z $330.1840[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{4}, 330.1831$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data, see table 1 .

Table 1. NMR spectral data of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$.

| No. | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\delta_{C}$ | $\delta_{H}(J, H z)$ | $\delta_{C}$ | $\delta_{H}(J, H z)$ |
| 1 | 18.2 | $1.50 \mathrm{~m}, 1.78 \mathrm{~m}$ | 30.5 | $1.80 \mathrm{~m}, 2.60 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| 2 | 27.1 | $2.20 \mathrm{~m}, 2.35 \mathrm{~m}$ | 72.3 | 4.28 m |
| 3 | 132.6 | 6.90 dd (3.2, 4.9) | 125.6 | 6.80 br.s |
| 4 | 140.5 | -- | 139.6 | -- |
| 5 | 40.6 | -- | 42.3 | -- |
| 6 | 82.4 | 3.75 dd (4.1, 9.6) | 84.5 | 3.68 dd (3.5, 9.8) |
| 7 | 32.6 | 1.80 m | 33.1 | 1.92 m |
| 8 | 39.1 | 1.92 m | 40.5 | 1.70 m |
| 9 | 41.3 | -- | 44.3 | -- |
| 10 | 45.1 | 1.46 br. d (11.5) | 46.7 | 1.50 br. d (10.9) |
| 11 | 38.2 | $1.50 \mathrm{~m}, 2.05 \mathrm{~m}$ | 37.1 | $1.40-1.50 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| 12 | 18.4 | $2.20 \mathrm{~m}, 2.30 \mathrm{~m}$ | 20.1 | $2.28 \mathrm{~m}, 2.35 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| 13 | 131.5 | -- | 130.1 | -- |
| 14 | 111.3 | $6.30 \mathrm{dd}(0.8,1.8)$ | 111.7 | $6.25 \mathrm{dd}(0.8,1.8)$ |
| 15 | 143.4 | 7.35 t (1.8) | 143.6 | 7.37 t (1.7) |
| 16 | 139.7 | 7.31 br. s | 140.2 | 7.34 br. s |
| 17 | 16.6 | 0.85 d (7.4) | 15.8 | 0.80 d (7.8) |
| 18 | 174.1 | -- | 172.1 | -- |
| 19 | 18.1 | 1.20 s | 19.3 | 1.16 s |
| 20 | 19.3 | 0.75 s | 19.8 | 0.76 s |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} 100$ and 400 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$, respectively.
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